HON'BLE SHRI G.S.SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.13319 OF 1994

Between:

D.Gangadhar
. . .Petitioner

AND

The State Transport Appellate Tribunal,
Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad and others
. . .Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner : None

Counsel for the respondent No.1 : Shri K.Srinivasa Rao

Counsel for the respondent Nos.2&3:Government Pleader for Transport

Dated: 3rd November, 2006

: ORDER :

PER G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

In this petition, the petitioner has prayed for quashing order dated 11.02.1994 passed by the State Transport Appellate Tribunal (for short 'the Tribunal') and also for issue of a direction to Regional Transport Authority, Karimnagar to issue pucca stage carriage permit in his favour so as to enable him to operate on Korutla New Bus Stand to Dharmaram via Ilapur route.

A perusal of the record shows that the writ petition was allowed by the Division Bench vide order dated 05.11.1997 and the respondents were directed to grant permit to the petitioner on the route mentioned hereinabove. The appeal preferred by Divisional Manager, Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation against the order of the Division Bench, which was registered as Civil Appeal No.4305 of 1998 was allowed in terms of the judgment of the Supreme Court in **APSRTC v. RTA**[1]**.**

Although no one has appeared for the petitioner, we do not consider it proper to defer the adjudication of the writ petition because Shri K.Srinivasa Rao, learned counsel appearing for Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation (for short 'the Corporation'), on the basis of instructions given to him by the officers concerned made a statement that during the pendency of the appeal filed by the Corporation against the order of the Division Bench, the petitioner surrendered the permit and he is no longer operating the service.

In view of the statement made by Shri K.Srinivasa Rao, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

As a sequel to disposal of the main petition, W.P.M.P.No.9303 of

1996 filed by the petitioner for interim relief is also disposed of as infructuous.

G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

Date: 03.11.2006

kvni

---

[1] (2005) 4 SCC 391